IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Patricia A. Torrens-Burton : Date: November 26, 2007

Group Art Unit: 3629 : IBM Corporation

Examiner: M. Fisher : Intellectual Property Law

Serial No.: 10/045,134 : Dept. 917, Bldg. 006-1

Filed: November 7, 2001 : 3605 Highway 52 North

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR : Roch

PROVIDING CUSTOMIZED SOUVENIR

IMAGES

Rochester, MN 55901

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 223313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF APPEALS

Sir:

This is an appeal of a Final Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of claims 1, 3-6, 9, 10 and 13-29 of Application Serial No. 10/045,134, filed November 7, 2001. This brief is submitted pursuant to a Notice of Appeal filed September 26, 2007, as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.192.

1. Real Party in Interest

International Business Machines Corporation of Armonk, NY, is the real party in interest. The inventors assigned their interest as recorded on November 7, 2001, on Reel 012493, Frame 0338.

2

2. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no related appeals nor interferences pending with this application.

3. Status of Claims

Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 10 and 13-29 are pending and stand finally rejected, and are on

appeal herein. Claims 2, 7, 8, 11 and 12 are cancelled. The claims on appeal are set forth

in the Appendix of Claims

4. Status of Amendments

No amendments were submitted following Final Rejection.

5. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The invention herein relates to providing customized souvenir images to

customers of an event, such as spectators present in a stadium at an athletic competition.

Independent claim 1, 16 and 25 recite respectively a method, system and computer

program product in which an image associated with an event site location is automatically

presented to a customer responsive to input of the location. Independent claim 24 recites

a system including an automated kiosk, in which an image associated with an assigned

seat location is automatically presented to a customer responsive to reading a document

evidencing the seat location.

Docket No. ROC920010138US1

Serial No. 10/045,134

In accordance with claim1, souvenir images are provided to event site customers by capturing motion video during the event [Spec. p. 3, lines 9-14; p. 4, lines 11-15; p. 11, lines 1-8; p. 13, lines 12-18; Fig. 2, step 212]. Images of different locations within the event site are generated from the motion video, each image being associated with a respective event site location [Spec. p. 3, lines 9-18; p. 7, lines 3-10; Fig. 2, steps 212-216]. Each event site location is occupied during the event by a respective discrete subset of customers, each customer occupying only a single event site location. [Spec. p. 3, lines 3-5; p. 4, lines 4-10; p. 6, lines 18-22; p. 8, lines 3-6; p. 12, lines 8-19]. A customer inputs a desired event site location into an automated interactive device after the video is captured [Spec. p. 3, line 19 - p. 4, line 10; p. 7, lines 11-19; p. 9, line 21 - p. 10, line 8; Figs. 3 and 4]. Responsive to the input, the interactive device automatically display an image associated with the desired location [Spec. p. 3, line 19 - p. 4, line 10; p. 8, lines 3-7; p. 10, lines 7-13; Figs. 3 and 4]. Responsive to receiving a customer confirmation, the interactive device automatically provides the image to the customer [Spec. p. 4, lines 6-10; p. 8, lines 7-13; p. 10, lines 12-21; Figs. 3 and 4].

In accordance with claim 16, a system for providing souvenir images includes a selection input device to receive a desired event site location input [Spec. p. 3, lines 19-23; p. 4, lines 6-10; p. 6, lines 10-17; p. 7, lines 13-18; p. 9, lines 14-20; p. 10, lines 2-6; Figs. 1B, 1C]. The system also includes a motion video camera for generating images of different event site locations, each image being associated with a respective location [Spec. p. 3, line 19 - p. 4, line 1; p. 4, lines 11-15; p. 6, lines 1-9; p. 7, lines 3-10; p. 11, lines 1-8, p. 13, lines 12-18; Fig. 1A]. Each event site location is occupied during the event by a respective discrete subset of customers, each customer occupying only a single location. [Spec. p. 3, lines 3-5; p. 4, lines 4-10; p. 6, lines 18-22; p. 8, lines 3-6; p. 12, lines 8-19]. The system further includes an image database for storing image data from

the motion video and a processor to automatically correlate a desired location input by a customer with an image, the input being received after capture of the motion video [Spec. p. 3, lines 19-23; p. 6, lines 6-9; p. 7, lines 3-10; Fig. 1A]. The system further includes an image delivery apparatus to automatically provide an image associated with the desired location [Spec. p. 3, lines 19-23; p. 4. lines 6-10; p. 6, lines 11-16; p. 8, lines 10-13; p. 9, lines 15-20; p. 10, lines 12-21; Figs. 1B, 1C].

In accordance with claim 24, a system for providing souvenir images includes a camera for generating images of different event site locations, and a sensor that automatically correlates each image with a respective subset of seat locations [Spec. p. 3, line 19 - p. 4, line 6; p. 6, lines 1-9; p. 7, lines 3-10; Fig. 1A]. The system further includes an automated kiosk for interactive use by a customer after image capture [Spec p. 4, lines 4-10; p. 6, lines 10-17; p. 7, line 7 - p. 8, line 13; Fig. 1B]. The kiosk includes an automated document reader which reads a document showing the customer's seat location [Spec. p. 4, lines 6-10; p 6, lines 11-16; p. 7, lines 13-16; Fig. 1B, feature 140]. The kiosk further includes a display which displays an image associated with the seat location responsive to reading the seat location with the document reader [Spec. p. 4, lines 6-10; p. 6, lines 11-16; p. 8, lines 3-7; Fig. 1B, feature 122]. The kiosk further includes a payment receiver for receiving payment from a customer, and a printer for automatically printing the image associated with the seat location responsive to receiving payment [Spec. p. 4, lines 6-10; p. 6, lines 11-16; p. 8, lines 7-13; Fig. 1B, features 128, 124].

In accordance with claim 25, a computer program product comprises a program configured to perform a method of providing souvenir images to event site customers and recordable signal bearing media bearing the program [Spec. p 14, lines 1-5]. In

accordance with the method, motion video is captured during an event [Spec. p. 3, lines 9-14; p. 4, lines 11-15; p. 11, lines 1-8; p. 13, lines 12-18; Fig. 2, step 212]. Images of different locations within the event site are generated from the motion video, each image being associated with a respective event site location [Spec. p. 3, lines 9-18; p. 7, lines 3-10; Fig. 2, steps 212-216]. Each event site location is occupied during the event by a respective discrete subset of customers, each customer occupying only a single event site location. [Spec. p. 3, lines 3-5; p. 4, lines 4-10; p. 6, lines 18-22; p. 8, lines 3-6; p. 12, lines 8-19]. A customer inputs a desired event site location into an automated interactive device after the video is captured [Spec. p. 3, line 19 - p. 4, line 10; p. 7, lines 11-19; p. 9, line 21 - p. 10, line 8; Figs. 3 and 4]. Responsive to the input, the interactive device automatically display an image associated with the desired location [Spec. p. 3, line 19 - p. 4, line 10; p. 8, lines 3-7; p. 10, lines 7-13; Figs. 3 and 4]. Responsive to receiving a customer confirmation, the interactive device automatically provides the image to the customer [Spec. p. 4, lines 6-10; p. 8, lines 7-13; p. 10, lines 12-21; Figs. 3 and 4].

6. Grounds of Rejection To Be Reviewed on Appeal

Claims 1, 3, 6, 9, 14-19, 21-23, 25 and 28 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as anticipated by Catanoso (U.S. Patent 6,892,388). Claims 4, 5, 10, 13, 20, 24, 26, 27 and 29 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over *Catanoso*. The only issues in this appeal are whether the claims are either anticipated by *Catanoso*, or prima facie obvious over *Catanoso*.

7. Argument

Appellants contend that the Examiner failed to establish adequate grounds of rejection for the following reasons:

- I. The Examiner improperly rejected claims 1, 3, 6, 9, 14-19, 21-23, 25 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) because *Catanoso* does not disclose key claim limitations, specifically that each image is associated with a respective location, which is used by the customer to specify the image [page 8 below].
- II. The Examiner improperly rejected the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) because *Catanoso* fails to teach, suggest or otherwise render obvious the use of an event location as an indexing technique for selecting an image from among multiple images [page 13 below].
- III. The Examiner improperly rejected claims 10, 24, 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) because, in addition to reasons previously explained, Catanoso fails to teach, suggest, or otherwise render obvious the correlation of images with assigned seat locations [page 15 below].

Overview of Invention

A brief overview of appellants' invention in light of existing art will be helpful in appreciating the issues herein. Appellants' invention relates to providing souvenir images to customers at an event, such as a sporting event, concert or the like. In particular, appellants' invention is intended to provide a practical, automated method and device for generating a large number of customized souvenir images taken at an event, which requires substantially less manual intervention than prior art techniques. In fact, in one preferred embodiment (the kiosk), all of the selection and sale of souvenir images is performed using an automated interactive apparatus, requiring no manual intervention by the vendor.

Various prior art techniques exist for generating souvenir images, but all involve substantial manual intervention either on the part of the vendor or the customer or both, and therefore are difficult to scale for use in a mass entertainment setting, such as a sporting event or concert involving thousands of people. For example, it is known to photograph customers as they pass through a gate or similar area, and to then post the photographs on a wall or bulletin board for selection by the customers. A major drawback to this method is that, as the number of photographed customers increases, it takes longer for each customer to browse the photos in order to find his/her own. Another drawback is that the customer is depicted at an entry area rather than the actual event, and the image is therefore perhaps less interesting. It is also known to photograph customers using so-called instant photography by providing roaming photographers through a seating area, but this technique is labor intensive. Various other techniques exist, but each has its own drawbacks. In general, these techniques are difficult to apply to a typical mass spectator event, attended by a large number of persons, who remain in a fixed location, such as a specific numbered seat, during the event. It is generally not possible or practical to know in advance which attendees will want souvenir images; indeed, the desire to purchase a souvenir image often arises in the customer after the fact.

In accordance with appellants' invention, an automated device captures images of locations within the venue (preferably, seating locations) during the event and automatically associates each captured image with the location, which may be some discrete subset of the seats in the event facility. Preferably, each and every seat in the house is covered, so that the process can be completely automated, and does not require manual selection of persons or locations to capture. After the images are captured (e.g., at the conclusion of the event), the customer is allowed to view one or more captured images in which he appears by inputting location information in any of various ways to an

automated interactive device, such as a kiosk, or an interactive browser connected to the Internet. Responsive to that input, the device automatically retrieves the image or images associated with the input location, and displays the image(s) to the customer. The customer is preferably allowed to select an option for purchasing the image(s) in any of various forms.

Appellant does not claim to have invented the concept of souvenir images, which is well known. Appellant has invented and claimed a specific method and system for providing souvenir images to a mass audience on an automated basis. Of particular significance in the present appeal is the use of a location as a form of index to find one image among many. Significantly, appellants' independent claims recite that a respective location is associated with each image (variously recited as an "event site location", "location within an event site", or "subset of seat locations"), that the location is input to an automated device, and that the automated device retrieves and displays the image responsive thereto.

I. The Examiner improperly rejected claims 1, 3, 6, 9, 14-19, 21-23, 25 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) because *Catanoso* does not disclose key claim limitations, specifically that each image is associated with a respective location, which is used by the customer to specify the image.

In order to support a rejection for anticipation, each and every element of the claimed invention must be shown in a single prior art reference. Appellants' claims are not anticipated by *Catanoso* because *Catanoso* does not disclose an automated technique for retrieving souvenir images, and specifically, does not disclose that each image is associated with a respective location, and that the image is displayed responsive to customer input of the location.

Catanoso discloses a computer-controlled video recording and production system. In accordance with Catanoso, multiple video cameras are under the control of a central computer system (or network of systems), which may use sensors for control or may use manual input. Video and audio input are captured from the multiple cameras and stored in the system. Playback and editing functions are provided.

Catanoso discloses various potential applications for his video recording system, none of which are explained in any great detail. Among the applications for his invention, *Catanoso* discloses that it can be used to capture souvenir images of individuals at amusement park rides. Specifically, all individuals riding a particular amusement park ride are photographed during the ride, without previously asking whether the individual wants a souvenir video. At the conclusion of the ride, the video is displayed or available for display near the exit point, and the individual has the opportunity to purchase a souvenir copy. This is a well-known application which is also disclosed in the background section of appellant's specification.

Catanoso does indeed disclose the general concept of souvenir images and the common practice of capturing images from all or substantially all customers before offering the customer the opportunity to purchase. However, Catanoso does not disclose specific improved features claimed by appellant, and in particular does not disclose the use of a *location* to automatically retrieve and display images to the customer. The Examiner apparently maintains that an image is inherently associated with a location (i.e., where it was taken), and that Catanoso discloses taking the image at a ride and displaying it at the ride, thus satisfying the claimed recitations. A closer examination of the claim shows this to be incorrect. Representative claim 1 recites:

1. A method of providing souvenir images to event site customers, comprising:

capturing motion video data during an event;

automatically generating a plurality of images of different event site locations within an event site from said motion video, wherein each image of said plurality of images is associated with a respective one of said event site locations, wherein each said event site location is occupied during said event by a respective discrete subset of said event site customers, each said event site customer occupying only a single respective event site location of said different event site locations:

receiving, in an automated interactive device, an input from a customer specifying a desired event site location, said receiving step being performed after said step of capturing motion video data;

responsive to said step of receiving a user input, automatically displaying to the customer in said automated interactive device at least one image associated with the desired event site location; and

responsive to receiving in said automated interactive device a customer confirmation, automatically providing the at least one image to the customer. [emphasis added]

Independent claim 25 is a program product claim containing similar limitations. Independent claim 16 is a system claim having somewhat different limitations, but contains the limitations analogous to those italicized above. Independent claim 24 recites an automated kiosk for providing souvenir images; while having somewhat different limitations, it also contains limitations analogous to, though generally narrower than, those italicized above. Various additional limitations of claim 24 are discussed in part III herein, but the remarks in parts I and II herein apply as well to claim 24.

Cantoso fails to anticipate the claim for numerous reasons, among which are the following.

Catanoso does not disclose a step of "receiving, in an automated interactive device, an input ... specifying a desired event site location...", as recited in claim 1. As

explained previously, Catanoso would place an output display at the exit from an amusement ride, and display video taken of a customer on the ride at the output display. There is nothing whatsoever which discloses the customer inputting a desired event site location to an interactive device.

At p. 2 of the office action, the Examiner finds this step to be "inherent in that the desired images are sold to the customer..." Appellants disagree. The act of purchasing something does not amount to specifying a location in an interactive device, notwithstanding that the act of purchase occurs at a location. Nor does the use or viewing of an interactive device amount to "specifying a location", even though the interactive device has a location, and therefore it is inherently used at its location.

Appellants are mindful that claim limitations are given their broadest reasonable interpretation, but submit that this interpretation is plainly unreasonable. An interactive device always has a location. By the Examiner's reading, any time a person uses an interactive device, he is "inputting" a "location" to the device. Appellant submits that the idea of "input" to an "automated interactive device" is well understood to mean that one must input some *data* specifying something, in this case the desired location. This is the only interpretation that makes any sense. To hold otherwise would trivialize the claim limitation, and essentially read it out of the claim.

¹ At p. 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner reads the claim as follows:

[&]quot;... Catanoso discloses a method of providing souvenir images ... comprising: ... receiving, in an interactive device (col. 2, lines 10-15) desired location information from the customer (inherent in that the desired images are sold to the customer, col. 6, lines 12-14)..."

Catanoso col. 2, lines 10-15 merely disclose a workstation display. Col. 6, lines 12-14 discloses: "All riders are recorded; video tapes or other media are produced only when a rider purchases them." There is nothing whatsoever that discloses any input of location information from the customer to an interactive device.

Catanoso does not disclose a step of "responsive to said step of receiving a user input, automatically displaying to a customer ... at least one image associated with the event site location..". As recited in claim 1, the step of automatically displaying is "responsive to said step of receiving a user input", i.e, the step of receiving user input "specifying a desired event site location". The image displayed is an image "associated with the desired event site location".

At p. 2 of the office action, the Examiner paraphrases this limitation as amounting to nothing more than displaying an image responsive to user input.² This simplistic reading ignores the plain language of the claim, which requires that the act of displaying be responsive to "said step of receiving a user input", i.e., the input of a location, and that the image displayed is an image associated with that location.

Catanoso can not possibly be said to meet this limitation. As apparently conceded by the Examiner, all Catanoso shows is that one can display stored images on an interactive device responsive to user input. It says nothing whatsoever about input of a location, and displaying images associated with the location responsive to the input.

Catanoso does not meet the limitations of an "event site location", i.e., "wherein each said event site location is occupied during said event by a respective discrete subset of said event site customers, each said event site customer occupying only a single respective event site location of said different event site locations"

² At p. 2of the Office action, the Examiner finds this claim limitation met as follows:

[&]quot;... Catanoso discloses ... automatically displaying the image at an automated, interactive playback device (at playback station 60) in response to a request (the user is shown to request images and they are displayed).

In attempting to construct a read, the Examiner inconsistently grapples with this limitation. If the "event" is the amusement park as a whole, then clearly the limitation that "each event site customer occupying only a single respective event site location" is not met, since, as is well known, amusement park customers wander freely about the park from one location to another. If, on the other hand, the "event" is a particular ride, then the first clause of the limitation is not met, because there is only one "event site location", i.e., that particular ride, and all of the "event site customers" (the customers of that particular ride) occupy that location (the location of the ride) during the event (the ride).

The Examiner can't have it both ways. Either the "event" is the amusement park or the "event" is the ride, and in neither case are all the limitations met.

For all the reasons specified above, *Catanoso* does not anticipate appellants' claims, and the Examiner's rejections thereof were erroneous.

II. The Examiner improperly rejected the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) because *Catanoso* fails to teach, suggest or otherwise render obvious the use of an event location as an indexing technique for selecting an image from among multiple images. ³

As explained previously, appellants' invention is intended to make it more practical to offer souvenir images to a mass audience at an event. Prior art techniques, such as the one disclosed in Catanoso, are practical for a relatively small number of patrons (e.g., at an amusement park ride), where it is possible to offer a souvenir

³ Generally, a rejection for anticipation under 35 U.S.C. §102 may be deemed to include an implied or "subsumed" single reference rejection for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103. The subsumed obviousness rejection is addressed here.

14

immediately upon exiting from the ride. However, scaling this approach to a much larger

venue, such as a football game or a rock concert attended by 50,000 fans, is not very

practical.

Therefore, a significant feature of appellant's invention which enables its use in a

very large venue is the input of location information to an automated device, and the

automated retrieval and display of an image associated with the input location. In effect,

the event site location acts as an indexing tool to find one or a relatively small number of

images, from among a potentially very large set of images.

This is the reason for the limitation that there are multiple event site locations,

each occupied by a respective discrete subset of customers, and each customer occupying

only a single location during the event. Since the customer occupies only one location, it

is possible to drastically reduce the scope of images to be browsed by associating images

with locations, and inputting location information. This is not possible in a venue (such

as an amusement park) where the customer wanders around.

There is simply nothing in Catanoso which teaches, suggests, or otherwise renders

obvious such a technique. Although Catanoso does indeed relate to the general field of

souvenir images, it is directed to a substantially different environment. In Catanoso's

environment, the images are displayed to the customer at the exit from the amusement

park ride. For any given ride, only a relatively small number of patrons will be on that

ride, and it will be practical to display the images at an exit point, allowing the customer

to make a selection at that point.

Docket No. ROC920010138US1

In Catanoso's environment, there is no need to solicit location information from the customer. Either the venue in which the images are offered (the ride) is itself a

sufficient limitation on the number of images, or the venue is so large and ambiguous (the

amusement park) as to offer to practical limitation on the set of images.

Put another way, the *motivation*, or the *reason which is behind the inputting of*

location data as an index to find images, does not exist or is not shown in Catanoso. It

is true enough that interactive display hardware, data indexing and retrieval techniques,

and other basic digital data hardware and software techniques, are known. But none of

this, combined with Catanoso, would suggest or render obvious the particular technique

recited in appellant's claims.

For the reasons above stated, the claims are not obvious in view of *Catanoso*.

III. The Examiner improperly rejected claims 10, 24, 26 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) because, in addition to reasons previously explained, Catanoso fails

to teach, suggest, or otherwise render obvious the correlation of images with

assigned seat locations.

Appellant's independent claim 24 recites an automated kiosk for providing

souvenir images. Certain significant limitations of claim 24 are analogous to limitations

of claim 1 discussed above, and are patentable over the art for the reasons stated above in

Parts I and II, which are herein incorporated by reference. However, these limitations are

in certain respects narrower in claim 24, and therefore even if the Board of Appeals

should agree with the Examiner's rejections of the other claims, appellant maintains that

claim 24 is separately patentable.

Docket No. ROC920010138US1

Serial No. 10/045,134

Appellants' claim 24 recites:

- 24. A system adapted to provide souvenir images to event site customers, comprising:
- a camera adapted to capture a plurality of images of different locations within an event site during an event;
- a sensor that automatically correlates each image of the plurality of images with a respective discrete subset of a plurality of seat locations at said event site:
- an automated kiosk for interactive use by a customer after said camera has captured said plurality of images, comprising:
- an automated document reader that receives a physical document evidencing an assigned seat location from a customer, said automated document reader reading data recorded on said physical document to obtain said assigned seat location, said data being unique to said customer;
- a display that automatically displays an image in the plurality of images associated with the assigned seat location responsive to obtaining the assigned seat location using data read by said document reader;
- a payment receiver that receives a required payment from the customer; and
- a printer that automatically prints the image associated with the desired seat location in response to the payment receiver receiving the required payment [emphasis added]

Thus, where claim 1 recites an "event site location", claim 24 plainly recites an "assigned seat location". Furthermore, the kiosk contains a document reader which reads the assigned seat location from the customer's ticket, and a display which automatically displays the image in response.

The Examiner apparently deems the use of an automated ticket reader to be obvious, and therefore rejects claim 24 on the same rationale that claim 1 was rejected.

Appellant concedes that document readers are known in the art, but that misses the point.

17

Catanoso does not disclose or suggest any form of input of an assigned seat location, for

use in retrieving some subset of a larger set of images.

In rejecting claim 24, the Examiner is ignoring his own arguments with respect to

claim 1. The Examiner could only construct a read of claim 1 by holding that the "event

site location" was inherent in the images and in the use of an interactive display device.

I.e., the location was the location of the ride, or the location of the interactive display

device. In the case of claim 24, what is recited is an "assigned seat location", which is

input to the system, and from which the images are retrieved. Riders of an amusement

park ride typically do not have "assigned seat locations" (or, at least, there is no

disclosure or suggestion of such in Catanoso). The location of the amusement park ride

itself, or of an interactive display device which displays images after the ride, clearly does

not meet the limitation of an "assigned seat location", nor does it suggest, or in any other

way render obvious, the use of an "assigned seat location" as an indexing tool for finding

an image from among a larger collection of images.

Claims 26 and 27 are dependent on claim 24 and patentable for the same reasons.

Claim 10, dependent on claim 1, also recites use of a seat number, and is patentable for

the reasons stated above.

For all of the reasons stated herein above and in Parts I and II, the Examiner's

rejections of claims 24, 26 and 27 were erroneous.

Docket No. ROC920010138US1

Serial No. 10/045,134

8. Summary

Appellant discloses and claims novel and unobvious technique for providing souvenir images, by correlating a customer location (such as an assigned seat) to the images in a database of images. Appellants' technique facilitates the offering of souvenir images to a mass audience in a large venue because it can automatically retrieve a single or small number of images from a much larger collection for display to a customer. *Catanoso* discloses a known technique for offering souvenir images at an amusement park ride, in which the image is offered immediately at the conclusion of the ride and at the exit from the ride. For the reasons stated, there is no disclosure in Catanoso, nor is there any reason in Catanoso's environment, to input an "event site location" associated with the customer to an automated device, and use this data to retrieve an image.

For all the reasons stated herein, the rejections for anticipation and obviousness were improper, and appellant respectfully requests that the Examiner's rejections of the claims be reversed.

Date: November 26, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICIA A. TORRENS-BURTON, et al.

By_

Roy W. Truelson, Attorney Registration No. 34,265

(507) 202-8725 (Cell) (507) 289-6256 (Office)

From: IBM Corporation Intellectual Property Law Dept. 917, Bldg. 006-1 3605 Highway 52 North Rochester, MN 55901

APPENDIX OF CLAIMS

1	1.	A method of providing souvenir images to event site customers, comprising:
2		capturing motion video data during an event;
3		automatically generating a plurality of images of different event site locations
4	within	n an event site from said motion video, wherein each image of said plurality of
5	image	es is associated with a respective one of said event site locations, wherein each said
6	event	site location is occupied during said event by a respective discrete subset of said
7	event	site customers, each said event site customer occupying only a single respective
8	event	site location of said different event site locations;
9		receiving, in an automated interactive device, an input from a customer specifying
10	a desi	red event site location, said receiving step being performed after said step of
11	captu	ring motion video data;
12		responsive to said step of receiving a user input, automatically displaying to the
13	custo	mer in said automated interactive device at least one image associated with the
14	desire	ed event site location; and
15		responsive to receiving in said automated interactive device a customer
16	confi	mation, automatically providing the at least one image to the customer.
1	3.	The method of claim 1, wherein the at least one image comprises an electronic
2	image	· ·
1	4.	The method of claim 1, wherein the motion video data comprises a scoreboard

display signal.

2

1	5.	The method of claim 1, wherein the motion video data comprises a television
2	broad	cast signal.
1	6.	The method of claim 1, wherein the at least one image comprises a video clip.
	7 - 8.	(Cancelled)
1	9.	The method of claim 1, further comprising receiving money from the customer.
1	10.	The method of claim 1, wherein receiving desired location information from the
2	custor	mer comprises receiving a seat number from the customer.
	11-12	. (Cancelled)
1	13.	The method of claim 1, wherein providing the at least one image comprises:
2		receiving an electronic mail address from the customer; and
3		transmitting the first image to the electronic mail address.
1	14.	The method of claim 1, wherein providing the at least one image comprises:
2		printing the at least one image.
1	15.	The method of claim 1, wherein providing the at least one image comprises:
2		writing the at least one image onto a signal bearing media.

1	16. A system for providing souvenir images, comprising:		
2	a selection input device adapted to receive a desired location within an event site		
3	from a customer;		
4	a camera adapted to capture motion video data during an event for automatically		
5	generating a plurality of images of different locations within said event site, wherein each		
6	image of said plurality of images is associated with a respective one of said locations		
7	within said event site, wherein each said location within said event site is occupied during		
8	said event by a respective discrete subset of customers attending said event, each said		
9	customer attending said event occupying only a single respective one of aid locations		
10	within said event site;		
11	an image database for storing image data from said motion video data;		
12	a processor adapted to automatically correlate the desired location within said		
13	event site received from a customer at said selection input device with at least one image		
14	associated with the desired location within said event site, wherein the desired location is		
15	received from the customer at said selection input device and correlated by said processor		
16	with the at least one image after said camera captures said motion video data; and		
17	an image delivery apparatus adapted to automatically provide the at least one		
18	image associated with the desired location to the customer responsive to customer input		
19	of a desired location within said event site to said selection input device.		
1	17. The system of claim 16, wherein the image delivery apparatus comprises a kiosk.		
1	18. The system of claim 17, wherein the image delivery apparatus comprises a printer		
2	operably connected to the kiosk.		

- 1 19. The system of claim 17, wherein the image delivery apparatus comprises an optical disk writer operably connected to the kiosk.
- 1 20. The system of claim 16, wherein the selection input device comprises at least one of a touch sensitive monitor and a keypad.
- 1 21. The system of claim 16, wherein the image delivery apparatus comprises a server computer operably connected to a computer network.
- The system of claim 16, further comprising a stadium display unit operably connected to the camera, the stadium display unit adapted to display the at least one image to a plurality of event site customers.
- 1 23. The system of claim 16, wherein the image comprises at least one of: a video clip, 2 a photograph, a digital photograph, and a digital video clip.

1	24. A system adapted to provide souvenir images to event site customers, comprising:
2	a camera adapted to capture a plurality of images of different locations within
3	an event site during an event;
4	a sensor that automatically correlates each image of the plurality of images with a
5	respective discrete subset of a plurality of seat locations at said event site;
6	an automated kiosk for interactive use by a customer after said camera has
7	captured said plurality of images, comprising:
8	an automated document reader that receives a physical document evidencing an
9	assigned seat location from a customer, said automated document reader reading data
10	recorded on said physical document to obtain said assigned seat location, said data being
11	unique to said customer;
12	a display that automatically displays an image in the plurality of images associated
13	with the assigned seat location responsive to obtaining the assigned seat location using
14	data read by said document reader;
15	a payment receiver that receives a required payment from the customer; and
16	a printer that automatically prints the image associated with the desired seat
17	location in response to the payment receiver receiving the required payment.

1	23.	A computer program product, comprising.
2		(a) a program configured to perform a method of providing souvenir images to
3		event site customers, the method comprising:
4		1) capturing motion video data during an event;
5		2) automatically generating a plurality of images of different event site
6		locations within an event site from said motion video, wherein each image of
7		said plurality of images is associated with a respective one of said event site
8		locations, wherein each said event site location is occupied during said event
9		by a respective discrete subset of said event site customers, each said event
10		site customer occupying only a single respective event site location of said
11		different event site locations;
12		3) receiving, in an automated interactive device, an input from a customer
13		specifying a desired event site location, said receiving step being performed
14		after said step of capturing motion video data;
15		4) responsive to said step of receiving a user input, automatically displaying
16		to the customer in said automated interactive device at least one image
17		associated with the desired event site location; and
18		5) responsive to receiving in said automated interactive device a customer
19		confirmation, automatically providing the at least one image to the customer;
20		and
21		(b) a recordable signal bearing media bearing the program.
1	26.	The system of claim 24, wherein said automated kiosk further comprises an
2	intera	ctive input device coupled to said display, wherein said automated kiosk displays a
3	subse	t of said plurality of images, said subset containing multiple images, each image of
4	said s	subset being associated with the assigned seat location, and wherein said automated

kiosk receives from said customer using said interactive input device a selection of one of said multiple images of said subset, said printer automatically printing the selected image responsive to receiving said selection from said customer.

27. The system of claim 24, wherein said automated kiosk further comprises an interactive input device coupled to said display, wherein said automated kiosk receives from said customer a personalized message using said interactive input device, and wherein responsive to receiving said personalized message, said kiosk automatically prints said personalized message with said image associated with the desired seat location.

28. The method of claim 1,

wherein said step of automatically generating a plurality of images automatically generates, for each said event site location, a subset of said plurality of images, each subset comprising multiple said images;

wherein said step of automatically displaying to the customer in said automated interactive device at least one image comprises automatically displaying to the customer in said automated interactive device the subset of said plurality of images associated with the desired event site location;

wherein said method further comprises the step of receiving a customer selection of at least one image of said subset of said plurality of images associated with the desired event, said customer selection being received in said automated interactive device, the at least one image selected by said step of receiving a customer selection being automatically provided to the customer by said automatically providing step.

- 1 29. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of receiving in said automated
- 2 interactive device a personalized message from said customer, wherein the step of
- automatically providing the at least one image to the customer automatically provides an
- 4 image containing said personalized message.

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

No evidence is submitted.

APPENDIX OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS

There are no related proceedings.